Leader Election

Rings, Arbitrary Networks

Smruti R. Sarangi

Department of Computer Science Indian Institute of Technology New Delhi, India

Outline

- Leader Election in Rings
 - $O(n^2)$ Algorithm
 - $O(n \log(n))$ Algorithm

Leader Election in Trees

Outline

- Leader Election in Rings
 - $O(n^2)$ Algorithm
 - $O(n \log(n))$ Algorithm
- Leader Election in Trees

Leader Election in Rings

- We assume that we are using a ring based overlay.
- We wish to choose the process with the smallest id as the leader. (NOTE: asymmetry)
- Messages can only be sent to the clockwise neighbor(left) or anti-clockwise neighbor(right).

Chang-Roberts Algorithm

```
if p is initiator then
      state ← find
2
      send p to next(p)
      while state ≠ leader do
          receive(q);
3
          if p = q then
              state ← leader
4
          end
5
          else if q < p then
6
              if state = find then
                  state ← lost
8
              end
              send q to next(p)
10
                                                  4 □ > 4 □ > 4 □ > 4 □ > ...
```

Leader Election

Chang Roberts Algorithm - II

```
else
     while true do
2
         receive q
3
         send (q) to next(p)
         if state = sleep then
             state ← lost
4
         end
5
     end
6
  end
```

Message Complexity

- Assume there are O(N) initiators.
- The leader's message will be sent N times.
- For other initiators, the message will be sent N-i times.
- $\bullet \sum_{i}(N-i)=O(N^2).$

Message Complexity

- Assume there are O(N) initiators.
- The leader's message will be sent N times.
- For other initiators, the message will be sent N-i times.
- $\bullet \ \sum_i (N-i) = O(N^2).$

Optimization

Global broadcast is not necessary

Outline

- Leader Election in Rings
 - $O(n^2)$ Algorithm
 - $O(n \log(n))$ Algorithm

Leader Election in Trees

Basic Idea

- We get a $O(N^2)$ complexity because each message can travel O(N) hops.
- Instead of sending a message to everybody, we need to find a way to filter the set of messages (similar to Maekawa's algorithm)
- We will consider gradually larger sizes of windows in a sequence of rounds.
- Each window will allow only one of its members to participate in the next round.
- If, we are able to filter the number of participating members by a factor of 2 in each round, we will have O(log(N)) rounds.
- If in each round, we send only N messages, then a total of O(N log(N)) messages need to be sent.

$O(n \log(n))$ Time Algorithm

```
initialize:
  send (probe,id,0,1) to left and right
  receive (probe, j, k, d) from left(right):
  if j = id then
      leader ← j
2
      Terminate
3 end
4 if j < id and d < 2^k then
      send (probe, j, k, d+1) to right (left)
5
6 end
 if j < id and d = 2^k then
      send (reply, j, k) to left (right)
8
 end
```

$O(n \log(n))$ Time Algorithm - II

```
receive (reply,j,k) from left(right):

if j \neq id then

send (reply,j,k) to right(left)

end

else

if received (reply,j,k) from right(left) then

send (probe,id,k+1,1) to left and right

end

end

end
```

- The maximum number of winners after *k* phases is:
 - Two winners can at the least be 2^k entries apart.
 - Thus, the total number of winners after k phases is $n/(2^k+1)$
- The total number of messages for each initiator in phase k is 4×2^k
- Total number of messages in the kth phase is:

$$4\times 2^k\times \frac{n}{2^{k-1}+1}$$

Total number of messages is:

$$M = \sum_{k=1}^{\log(n)} 4 \times 2^k \times \frac{n}{2^{k-1} + 1} = O(n \log(n))$$
 (1)



Leader Election in Trees

- Let us consider arbitrary networks.
- Creating a ring based overlay is difficult (It amounts to constructing a Hamiltonian cycle NP Hard).
- However, creating a tree based overlay is easy.
- To further optimize the process, we can choose the MST (minimum spanning tree) as the overlay.
- Assumptions:
 - Let the current node be termed as p
 - Let a neighbor be termed q
 - All the leaves (degree=1) are initiators

Initialization

```
Wakeup all the nodes
  if p is an initiator then
     awake ← true
2
     foreach q \in neigh(p) do
         send wakeup to a
3
4
     end
5 end
  while numWakeups <| neigh(p) | do
     receive( wakeup )
7
      numWakeups ← numWakeups + 1
     if awake = false then
         awake ← true
8
         foreach q \in neigh(p) do
            send wakeup to q
9
         end
10
     end
```

Send Proposal to Parent

```
* Collate result from the leaves and send to
       parent
1 received \leftarrow 0
  min_p \leftarrow p
  while received < #children do
      receive \langle r \rangle from q
      rec_p[q] \leftarrow true
      received ← received + 1
      min_p \leftarrow min(min_p, r)
3 end
4 send min<sub>p</sub> to parent
```

Decide the Leader

```
* Receive the result from the parent, and
      send to the leaves
1 receive \langle r \rangle from parent
 res \leftarrow min( min_p, < r >)
  if res = p then
    state ← leader
3 end
4 else
     state ← lost
6 end
 foreach q \in neigh(p), q \neq parent do
   send res to q
 end
```

Message Complexity

- On every edge, we can send at the most two wakeup messages
- We can send a proposal and its reply.
- A tree with N nodes as (N-1) edges.

Complexity

Message Complexity: 4N - 4 = O(N)





- Distributed Computing, Fundamentals, Simulations and Advanced Topics by Haggit Attiya and Jennifer Welch, Wiley 2004
- Advanced Concepts in Operating Systems by Mukesh Singhal and Niranjan Shivaratri, McGrawHill, 1994
- Distributed Algorithms by Nancy Lynch, Morgan Kaufmann, 1996