COL202: Discrete Mathematical Structures. I semester, 2022-23. Amitabha Bagchi Tutorial Sheet 6: Relations, functions and ordering relations. 6 October 2022

Important: The question marked with a \blacklozenge is to be written on a sheet of paper and submitted to your TA within the first 10 minutes of the beginning of your tutorial session. Questions marked with

a * are optional challenge problems and are not to be discussed in the tutorial.

Problem 1 [1]

Prove that for binary relations $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}'$ from A to B and $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}'$ from B to C, if $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}'$ and $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{S}'$ then $\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{R}' \circ \mathcal{S}'$.

Problem 2 [1]

Given $\mathcal{R} \subseteq A \times B$ and $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} \subseteq B \times C$, prove or find an example that disproves

- 1. $\mathcal{R} \circ (\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{T}) = (\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{S}) \cup (\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{T})$
- 2. $\mathcal{R} \circ (\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{T}) = (\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{S}) \cap (\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{T})$
- 3. $\mathcal{R} \circ (\mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{T}) = (\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{S}) \setminus (\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{T})$

Problem 3 [1]

Show that a relation \mathcal{R} on a set A is

- 1. antisymmetric if and only if $\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{R}^{-1} \subseteq \mathcal{I}_A$.
- 2. transitive if and only $\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$.
- 3. connected if and only if $(A \times A) \setminus \mathcal{I}_A \subseteq \mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{R}^{-1}$.

Problem 4 [1]

Consider any preorder \mathcal{R} on A. For each $a \in A$ let $[a]_{\mathcal{R}} = \{b \in A : a\mathcal{R}b \land b\mathcal{R}a\}$. Now let $B = \{[a]_{\mathcal{R}} : a \in A\}$. Define a relation $\mathcal{S} \subseteq B \times B$ as follows: $[a]_{\mathcal{R}}\mathcal{S}[b]_{\mathcal{R}}$ whenever $a\mathcal{R}b$. Show that \mathcal{S} is a partial order.

Problem 5

Suppose we have a set S and a partially ordered set (T, \preceq_T) , let \mathcal{F} be the set of functions $f: S \to T$, i.e., all the functions from S to T. We define a relation, \preceq , on \mathcal{F} as follows: $f \preceq g$ if $f(x) \preceq_T g(x)$ for all $x \in S$. Show that \preceq is a partial order on \mathcal{F} .

Problem 6 🌲

Given a set X, let X_{\leq} be the set of partial orders on X. For any two partial orders $\leq_1, \leq_2 \in X_{\leq}$ we say that $\leq_1 \leq \leq_2$ if $x_1 \leq_1 x_2$ implies $x_1 \leq_2 x_2$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$. Show that (X_{\leq}, \leq) is a partially ordered set. Is it totally ordered?

Problem 7

For any n > 0, let $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be the set of $n \times n$ real matrices. We say an $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is *positive semi-definite* if for every column vector $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$, $\boldsymbol{x}^T A \boldsymbol{x} \ge 0$. Let $\mathcal{P}^n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be the set of positive semi-definite $n \times n$ real matrices. We say that for $A, B \in \mathcal{P}^n$, $A \preceq B$ if B - A is positive semidefinite. Is \preceq a partial order on \mathcal{P}^n ?

Problem 8

Let (S, \leq_S) and (T, \leq_T) be two posets defined on disjoint sets S, T. The *linear sum* $S \oplus T$ of the two posets is $(S \cup T, \leq)$ where for $x, y \in S \cup T$ we say $x \leq y$ if either $x \leq_S y$ or $x \leq_T y$ or if $x \in S$ and $y \in T$. Show that \leq is a partial order on $S \cup T$. Draw an example of a graph for which a normal spanning tree's tree order can be represented as the linear sum of two tree orders.

Problem 9

Two partially ordered sets (S, \leq_S) and (T, \leq_T) are said to be *isomorphic* if there exists a bijection $f: S \to T$ such that $x \leq_S y$ if and only if $f(x) \leq_T f(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$. The function f is called an *isomorphism*. Also a function $f: S \to T$ is said to be *increasing* if $x \leq_S y$ implies $f(x) \leq_T f(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$. A function $f: S \to T$ is said to be *strictly* increasing iff or $x \neq y, x \leq_S y$ implies $f(x) \leq_T f(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$. A function $f: S \to T$ is said to be *strictly* increasing iff or $x \neq y, x \leq_S y$ implies $f(x) \leq_T f(y)$ and $f(x) \neq f(y)$ (this could also be denoted $f(x) \prec_T f(y)$).

Show by example that an increasing function need not be an isomorphism.

Problem 10

Suppose (S, \leq_S) and (T, \leq_T) are *isomorphic* and $f: S \to T$ is an isomorphism between them. Show that f and f^{-1} are both strictly increasing functions.

Problem 11 * requires some knowledge of Linear Algebra

For $i \in [n]$, let $\lambda_i : \mathcal{P}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be the function mapping a matrix to its *i* smallest eigenvalue. Is λ_n an increasing function from (\mathcal{P}^n, \preceq) to (\mathbb{R}, \leq) where \preceq and \mathcal{P}^n are as defined in Problem 7? What about λ_1 ? What about λ_i for $i \neq 1, n$?

References

[1] S. Arun-Kumar, Lecture notes for Introduction to Logic for Computer Science., IIT Delhi, 2002. http://www.cse.iitd.ernet.in/~sak/courses/ilcs/logic.pdf