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\begin{abstract}
This paper proposes a DEMD-based textured video coding scheme for efficient representation of textured data compatible with a H.264/MPEG framework. We encode the DEMD residue image of all non-I frames (P and B frames in H.264/MPEG) instead of the original frame. All non-I frames are synthesised using correlation search and patch-based multi-level IMF synthesis from the DEMD representation of key frames (I frames, in the H.264/MPEG framework) and the DEMD residual image of the frame being synthesised. Experimental results show that the proposed scheme provides better compression results as compared to MPEG/H.264 with acceptable reconstruction quality.
\end{abstract}

\section{Introduction}

Textures are homogeneous patterns that contain spatial, temporal, statistical and perceptual redundancies, which intensity or colour alone cannot describe adequately. Video applications (such as representing textured backgrounds in video conferencing, or tele-teaching scenarios) demand high compression with good perceptual reconstruction quality, instead of bit-accurate (high PSNR) reconstruction. This is because the human brain is able to decipher important variations in data at scales smaller than those of the viewed object.

Conventional video compression schemes such as MPEG2 [1] and H.264 [2] exploit statistical dependencies on the entire signal subject to a mean squared error (MSE) criterion. Efficient exploitation of statistical dependencies does not always correspond to the best psycho-visual result. Representing textured video data using conventional approaches (MPEG2/H.264, for instance) is not efficient primarily because of three reasons, (a) they are based on Shannon-Nyquist sampling [3], thus do not exploit inherent sparsity present in the signal, (b) acquisition and encoding are carried out on the entire signal, while most of the transformed data is discarded in the compression processes and thereby significantly wasting storage resources and high computational cost (This is more relevant in case of texture video because of the fine detail and high frequency content), (c) these schemes do not exploit the perceptual redundancy present in the video data, which could result in much higher compression at an acceptable psycho-visual quality. The goal of a novel contemporary lossy compression scheme should be to reduce the entropy while preserving the perceptual quality of the frame using high-level computer vision tools and techniques. Most of these high-level processing techniques results in a small number of semantically relevant features, which can represent the salient features of the entire signal very accurately. Given this paradigm, a number of technical challenges arise, (i) how to identify an algorithm that can pre-process the video signal and how to identify the segment that should be represented by MSE-accurate criterion, (ii) how to create an approximation with subjective correspondence, (iii) how to represent a picture that consists of a mix of two approaches to compression.

In this paper, we propose a DEMD-based textured video coding scheme for efficient representation of textured data. We encode the DEMD residue image of all non-I frames (which due to the representation, are expected to have a significantly lower entropy, and can therefore be represented using fewer bits (Fig. 1 shows an example of the compression gain due to the DEMD-based entropy reduction for sample textured videos used in this paper) of all non-I frames (P and B frames in H.264/MPEG standard) in a H.264/MPEG framework. All non-I frames are synthesised using correlation search and patch-
ocs of the block based representation in handling homogeneous textures and blocking artifacts.

Zhang et al. [13] propose a DEMD-based image synthesis approach with a small representative texture sample. This patch is subjected to a DEMD-based decomposition for efficient representation of the texture information. To reconstruct a full-level image, the authors start from a highest level (say, $k$) of the DEM decomposition of the representative patch. They construct the $k$-th IMF corresponding to the full-resolution size by taking information from smaller patches inside the sample (the authors show an example with $64 \times 64$ representative sample textures, and smaller $8 \times 8$ patches), and placing them in the template for the full-resolution IMF (with overlap, to enforce smoothness). For the next lower IMF($k - 1$) onwards, the authors perform a correlation search for each smaller block in the full-resolution template, with the closest-matching smaller block in the $k - 1$th level decomposition of the representative texture sample. The synthesised full-resolution image is the DEMD synthesis of the full-resolution IMFs. This approach shows good results for textured image synthesis with perceptually acceptable quality. However this approach leaves the following important questions unanswered: (a) The selection criterion for the small representative texture: its size and location, (b) Selection criterion for the size of the smaller patch, and the extent of the overlap (10-20% of the patch size in examples in the paper), (c) objective assessment of the quality of the synthesised image, (d) the level of IMF decomposition required for optimal synthesis, (e) inability to handle texture sequences with irregular patterns, as the authors themselves mention [13]).

Our proposed scheme is a hybrid approach, combining the advantages of parametric and non-parametric methods, which enables us to handle a wide variety of textured videos which cannot beacco for by either method alone. DEMD can handle textures with stationary and non-stationary data. The scheme is in an H.264/MPEG-based framework, for better compatibility with existing video coding standards. We take advantage of the temporal encoding efficiency of standard video coding frameworks (H.264/MPEG) to encode the DEMD residues frames. The synthesis is done using correlation search and patch-based multi-level IMF synthesis from the DEMD representation of key frames ($I$ frames, in the H.264/MPEG framework) and the DEMD residual image of the frame being synthesised.

Recent work in the parametric approach is typically based on Auto Regressive (AR) [3] or Auto Regressive Moving Average (ARMA)-based modelling [4, 5, 6]. AR- and ARMA-based models in texture synthesis enable blocks to be selectively removed at the encoding stage, and reconstructed at the decoder with acceptable perceptual quality. AR- and ARMA-based approach are suitable for the textures with stationary data, like steady water, grass and sky, however they are not suitable for structured texture with non-stationary data (e.g., brickwall: Fig. 8) as blocks with non-stationary data are not amenable to AR modelling. Further, they are block-based approaches, and blocking artifacts can appear in the synthesised image.

To the best of our knowledge, no related work address these issues. A very preliminary work in this direction was our earlier work [14]. The current submission differs considerably from the above preliminary work in the following ways. The earlier work encoded the IMFs’ maxima and minima for multiple IMF levels, instead of the more efficient residue encoding in the current work. Moreover, the temporal information encoding in the H.264/MPEG framework - is a major contribution of the current submission. A heuristic in the earlier work [14] was to drop the residue (assuming that the residue was not sufficiently informative), leading to comparatively worse reconstruction quality, as well as compression (since the maxima and minima of many IMFs were also encoded).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section. 2, provides an overview of DEMD-based video encoding and decoding framework. Section. 3 provides details of our test set-up and experimental results followed by a conclusion in Section. 4.

2. DEMD-based Video Coding

Huang et al. [15] propose Empirical Mode Decomposition (EMD) as a decomposition of a signal \( f(t) \) into a ‘low frequency/residue’ term \( r_1(t) \) and a ‘high frequency/detail’ part \( i_k(t) \). Each \( i_k(t) \) can be similarly decomposed, to give a \( K \)-level decomposition,

\[
f(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} i_k(t) + r_K(t)
\]

Here, functions \( f_k(t) \) are the Intrinsic Mode Functions (IMFs) [15], [16], [17]. Advantages of such an iterative decomposition include the ability to handle any class of signals, non-linear, linear, non-stationary, and stationary. Further, the decomposition at each level is a simple numerical technique, and has an associated concept of a local scale (of an oscillation), and involves a perfect reconstruction. Liu et al. [18], [19], [20] extend the basic idea to 2-D, considering a dominant image direction (which is natural for an image segment containing texture) \( \theta \):

\[
f(x,y) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} i_k^2(x,y) + r_k^2(x,y)
\]

\( \theta \) can be estimated using a Wold decomposition-based method [21] using the maximum of the Radon transform of the spectrum [13]. For instance, Fig. 2 shows dominant texture direction extraction for the brickwall sequence used in our experiments. This is typically not expected to change appreciably for a textured video. In the following sections, we discuss how we use DEMD for our encoder and decoder framework.

2.1. DEMD-based Encoder Framework

We consider a video as being composed of a set of shots, where each shot has an \( I \) frame, followed by a sequence of non-\( I \) (\( P, B \)) frames. (One can have either a fixed number of such non-\( I \) frames, or have this varied adaptively according to the motion in adjacent frames, and the associated propagation error.) Fig. 3 gives an overall block diagram of the proposed encoder. An \( I \) frame is sent to an H.264/MPEG encoder, which creates the encoded bit-stream. For a non-\( I \) frame to be encoded, the system creates the DEMD decomposition of the frame according to Eq. 2. We use a simple wavelet-based method to decide on the number of levels \( K \) for the DEMD process. We estimate \( K \) from the corresponding DEMD of the \( I \) frame.

The theory of EMD guarantees a decrease in the number of extrema across decomposition levels (\( O(\log N) \) for an \( N \)-point function) [17]. Practically, one needs to stop when the information content in the residue is insignificant. This is often best done in the transform domain. We choose the computationally light Haar wavelets (\( O(N) \) for an \( N \)-point signal). A study of the local properties (amplitude, phase, isotropy and orientation) of a 2-D IMF in the wavelet domain reveals that the HH sub-band energy best represent the IMF image, while the LL sub-band represents the overall entropic information. We compute the ratio of the energies in the HH and LL sub-bands, and stop the decomposition once this ratio becomes a small fraction of that for the original input frame. We selected this fraction empirically (0.01) based on experiments with a wide range of texture videos, and monitoring the synthesis quality (MOS as well as PSNR). Any further decomposition results in unnecessary computations with little tangible gain in reconstruction quality, or compression (e.g., Fig. 7).

The encoder sends the penultimate-level residues \( r_{K-1}^2(x,y) \) - the logic behind sending the \((K-1)\)th level residue is to enable the decoder to have some information content to enable it to perform a search for the closest patch in the DEMD decomposition image at a particular level. (Sec. 2.2 has the details of the process.) The motivation behind this is the same as that for splitting frames of a video into I and non-I frames: the latter can be predicted (P: unidirectionally, or B: bidirectionally) from an I frame, due to temporal redundancy. Hence, it is reasonable to assume spatial correlation of a \( k \)th level decomposition between an I and a non-I frame. For an I frame,
the input to the H.264/MPEG encoder is the frame itself. For a non-I frame, the input to the H.264/MPEG encoder is the \((K−1)\) level residue, which is expected to contain far less information as compared to the original frame, to enable it to be more efficiently compressed using a standard H.264/MPEG encoder.

2.2. DEMD-based Decoder Framework

The decoder receives the H.264/MPEG stream, with each shot segment composed of an I frame, and a set of non-I \((K−1)\)th level residue frames \(r_{K−1}^θ(x,y)\). Fig. 4 shows a block level view of the proposed decoder framework.

![Fig. 4. The Decoder framework](image)

The decoder first decomposes the decoded I frame into \(K\) DEMD components \(r_{K−1}^θ(x,y)\), according to the same energy-based stopping criterion as in the encoder (Sec. 2.1). The decoder also estimates \(θ\) exactly as the encoder does it. For every non-I frame, the decoder starts from the H.264/MPEG-decoded \((K−1)\)th level residue frame. It performs an additional decomposition of \(r_{K−1}^θ(x,y)\) into \(r_{K−1}^{\theta,non−I}(x,y)\) and \(r_{K−1}^{\theta,I}(x,y)\). As mentioned in the previous section (Sec. 2.1), we assume that the decomposition proceeds till there is very little information content in the \(K\)th level residue. The \((K−1)\)th level residue is the last decomposition level with some useful information in the residue image \(r_{K−1}^{\theta,non−I}(x,y)\). The decoder does a correlation-based search for each block in the target IMF image \(r_{K−1}^{\theta,non−I}(x,y)\), with a block in the corresponding I frame IMF image \(r_{K−1}^{\theta,I}(x,y)\), with an overlap.

Each pixel in the IMF image has a 3-tuple feature vector: the magnitude (‘instantaneous bandwidth’), and the two angular directions (the ‘instantaneous frequencies’) [22, 13]. To get the best matching patch, we do a local search in two \(8 \times 8\) blocks on each side of the candidate block in the upper level newly-synthesised IMF image (with overlap), and select the one with least Euclidean distance from the corresponding block in the I frame IMF. We repeat the procedure for the other decomposition levels as well, using the same correspondence information for the blocks from the correspondence information at level \((K−1)\). Fig. 5 demonstrates the overall block level view for IMF decomposition and synthesis flow described above.

2.2.1. Patch Selection & Synthesis: Issues, Workable Solutions

It may be argued that an EMD generates IMFs with overall decreasing frequency content, so one may pose a question of having an adaptive block size for the block texture map. However, EMD involves the concept of a local frequency and a local scale [15], [16], [17], and it is not easy to have an adaptive block size.

As a compromise, for a texture synthesis application [13], Zhang et al. use a fixed block size, but perform correlation search with the immediately previously synthesised IMFs (assuming that the frequency content will not change drastically between two adjacent IMF levels - an approximation in terms of the theory, but a workable heuristic). An even more gross approximation is a ‘flat search’: to assume that the correspondence of blocks determined at the \(K\)th IMF level, remains unchanged across other IMF levels also. The advantage of this gross approximation is less computational cost, since we do not have to work out a correspondence across multiple IMF decomposition levels. The trade-off is the reconstruction quality. The limitation is usually visible in artifacts across the patch boundary of the synthesised IMFs.

As a workable solution, we assume an empirically chosen compromise block size, and perform a correlation search across all prior synthesised IMFs for each block, at the cost of increased computational complexity, but to ensure that most localised frequency transitions can be captured across multiple decomposition levels (for the cases where a correlation search with the immediately previous synthesised IMF does not capture the best possible block correlation). Fig. 6 shows a comparison of a ‘flat search’ with correlation search, for two and three levels of IMF decomposition, for instance, for six representative videos.

The approach in this paper works across temporal redundancy as well (in a video with frames). Even at the level of a frame, our approach differs from that of Zhang et al. [13] as we encode full-resolution reference data, unlike a small set of sample texture (whose size is empirically selected as \(64 \times 64\) and content is expected to represent all the structural texture unit in the image). This enables us to extend our proposed framework for a wide variety of textures, such as irregular texture patterns.
The synthesis is also faster in our proposed scheme because it uses full resolution reference data: finding the best possible patch requires a very localised search, unlike the exhaustive search in case of sample based texture synthesis scheme [13].

For the synthesised texture quality assessment, we do objective quality assessment using PSNR as well as subjective assessment using MOS, while Zhang et al. [13] use only a subjective assessment criterion and rate the reconstruction quality with the visual effect achieved.

3. Results and Discussion

In this section, we present experimental results for representative structured textures (Sec. 1) with periodic (escalator, fabric) and pseudo-periodic (brickwall, party scene), and non-structured/statistical textured sequences (floorbox, circularhole, dog_grass, bridge_far, container). All the test sequences are 128 \times 128, 8-bit, gray scale videos. PSNR and MOS have been used as the quality metrics. Mean Opinion Score (MOS) computation was done by collecting responses of various students and staff working in the lab and averaging them. All the experiments are carried out in MATLAB, running on a Windows XP PC with a P4 CPU and 1GB RAM.

Table 1 shows sample compression results with just a single level of IMF decomposition. The H.264 compression is larger than the MPEG2 one (better spatial and temporal redundancy encoding), and our scheme performs significantly better than either, in the respective frameworks. An exception to the above trend is for the brickwall and container sequences, where the significant periodicity with high structural transitions between frames in brickwall and dynamic statistical transition between frames in container sequence, limits the compression gain with DEMD as opposed to encoding the raw video data. This is a limitation of the proposed scheme, as summarised towards the end of this section.

The compression gain increases considerably with the number of levels of IMF decomposition, as dictated by the energy-based decomposition stopping criterion (Sec. 2.1). Fig. 7 shows some representative results. The importance of the energy-based stopping criterion can be noted here, as some test sequences as floorbox have an optimal compression with acceptable quality, at the first IMF decomposition level itself. Additional levels of IMF decomposition for such sequences only add computational complexity without any significant gain.

Figs. 8, and 9 demonstrate synthesis results of the four textured video sequences comprising synthesised IMF image and non-I frame sequences. All images with framed boundaries are synthesised frames. (The decoder performs one level of decomposition to get the Kth level residue and the Kth IMF: details in Sec. 2.2). The Mean Opinion Score (MOS) data on a scale of 5 indicates that the synthesis quality is perceptually acceptable with a comparable PSNR range to H.264, as shown in Table 1.

It is interesting to note that in the experiments with the dog_grass sequence, we have not explicitly performed a foreground-background separation, and encoded the background (the grass, and the rocks in the meadow) alone. In spite of this, the proposed framework works better as compared to a standard MPEG2/H.264 encoding, as can be seen from the data in Table 1, and the graphs in Fig. 7 even for one IMF level alone, and more so for further levels of IMF decomposition.

The approach in this paper combines the effective decomposition property of DEMD to exploit temporal redundancy, within the framework of the H.264/MPEG standard for flexibility with regard to a standard, and a possibly better feature representation scheme for textured videos. A limitation of the proposed scheme is in cases such as the brickwall and container videos, where the H.264 temporal redundancy representation for the DEMD residue does not give an appreciably better compression gain as compared to working with the raw frame data. Such situations typically arise when the video data has considerable aperiodicity with high structural transitions or dynamic statistical transition between frames.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a DEMD-based textured video coding scheme for efficient representation of textured data in a
Table 1. Even a single level of IMF decomposition provides considerable compression gain over MPEG2 and H.264, at acceptable quality levels (PSNR as well as MOS) - for representative structured (Sec. 1) as well as non-structured/statistical textures. Sec. 3 has the details and the explanations. Columns 2 & 3 give the encoded bit sizes using MPEG-2 alone, and the DEMD-based proposed scheme in an MPEG-2 framework (‘proposed In MPEG2’). Columns 5 & 6 show the corresponding figures for H.264.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Encoded BitSize standard MPEG2</th>
<th>Encoded BitSize proposed In MPEG2</th>
<th>%Gain Over standard MPEG2</th>
<th>Encoded BitSize H.264</th>
<th>Encoded BitSize proposed In H.264</th>
<th>%Gain Over standard H.264</th>
<th>Quality (PSNR,db) standard H.264</th>
<th>Quality (PSNR,db) proposed In H.264</th>
<th>Quality (MOS) standard H.264</th>
<th>Quality (MOS) proposed In H.264</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>circularhole</td>
<td>372720</td>
<td>32659</td>
<td>12.25</td>
<td>69490</td>
<td>4190</td>
<td>39.63</td>
<td>36.00</td>
<td>35.03</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>escalator</td>
<td>43806</td>
<td>38489</td>
<td>11.32</td>
<td>12188</td>
<td>8920</td>
<td>26.81</td>
<td>34.00</td>
<td>30.16</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>floorbox</td>
<td>36268</td>
<td>31613</td>
<td>12.83</td>
<td>3632</td>
<td>3442</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>37.00</td>
<td>37.80</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dog_grass</td>
<td>24408</td>
<td>22977</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>3176</td>
<td>2792</td>
<td>12.09</td>
<td>35.84</td>
<td>34.64</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fabric</td>
<td>53514</td>
<td>42074</td>
<td>21.38</td>
<td>20726</td>
<td>8460</td>
<td>59.18</td>
<td>32.59</td>
<td>28.43</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>party_scene</td>
<td>51707</td>
<td>44165</td>
<td>14.59</td>
<td>12544</td>
<td>7376</td>
<td>41.20</td>
<td>35.06</td>
<td>39.31</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bridge_far</td>
<td>28778</td>
<td>26792</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>2932</td>
<td>1406</td>
<td>50.35</td>
<td>36.49</td>
<td>44.34</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>container</td>
<td>35682</td>
<td>33120</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>1322</td>
<td>2902</td>
<td>-54.44</td>
<td>37.32</td>
<td>33.47</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>brickwall</td>
<td>49017</td>
<td>45133</td>
<td>7.92</td>
<td>1516</td>
<td>4192</td>
<td>-63.08</td>
<td>34.43</td>
<td>39.01</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H.264/MPEG framework. We encode the DEMD residue image of all non-I frames (P and B frames in H.264/MPEG standard) in a H.264/MPEG framework, and not the original frames themselves. All non-I frames are synthesised using correlation search and patch-based multi-level IMF synthesis from the DEMD representation of key frames (I frames, in the H.264/MPEG framework) and the DEMD residual image of the frame being synthesised. Experimental results show that the proposed scheme provides better compression results as compared to MPEG/H.264 with acceptable reconstruction quality. A possible application of the proposed framework could have been for efficient representation of a BRDF (Bi-Directional Reflectance Function) of an object, where different images of an object in a single pose are taken in different illumination conditions. A video representation of the same may offer better compression in representation as compared to storing a large set of such images. If such a dataset has significant structural textural information, an approach similar to the proposed approach may be viable.

Another possible application scenario could be hyper-spectral satellite imagery, where images in any band typically contain textured areas. Representing these textures in different bands as a video using the proposed DEMD-based framework of this paper could be a possible efficient representation.
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Fig. 8. Synthesis results for sequences (in raster-scan order), brickwall and escalator: a. Input $I$ frame and synthesised non-$I$ frames, b. 1st level IMF image for $I$-frame and 1st level synthesised IMF image for non-$I$ frames, c. 2nd level IMF image, and d. DEMD residue image. The images with framed boundaries are synthesised frames: the decoder decomposes the encoded $(K-1)$th level residue into the $K$th level residue and IMF, and performs a correlation search to get the synthesised frames: Details in Sec. 2.2, Sec. 2.2.1.

Fig. 9. Synthesis results for sequences party scene and dog grass: a. Input $I$ frame and synthesised non-$I$ frames, b. 1st level IMF image for $I$-frame and 1st level synthesised IMF image for non-$I$ frames, c. 2nd level IMF image, and d. DEMD residue image. As mentioned in the text (Sec. 3), it is interesting to note that the sequence dog grass is not a pure ‘textured’ video and we have not extracted the foreground (the dog) and coded only the background texture. In spite of this, Table 1 and Fig. 7 show that the compression in the proposed framework is better than a standard MPEG2/H.264 encoding. The images with framed boundaries are synthesised frames: the decoder decomposes the encoded $(K-1)$th level residue into the $K$th level residue and IMF, and performs a correlation search to get the synthesised frames: Details in Sec. 2.2, Sec. 2.2.1.