

## CSL361 Problem set 9: Eigenvalue and SVD Computation

November 9, 2009

### 1 Schur and real Schur decompositions

1. If  $T \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  is partitioned as follows

$$T = \begin{array}{cc|c} T_{11} & T_{12} & p \\ \hline 0 & T_{22} & m-p \\ p & m-p & \end{array}$$

then show that  $\lambda(T) = \lambda(T_{11}) \cup \lambda(T_{22})$

2. If  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times p}$  and  $X \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times p}$  satisfy

$$AX = XB, \quad \text{rank}(X) = p,$$

then show that there exists a unitary  $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  such that

$$Q^H A Q = T = \begin{array}{cc|c} T_{11} & T_{12} & p \\ \hline 0 & T_{22} & m-p \\ p & m-p & \end{array}$$

where  $\lambda(T_{11}) = \lambda(A) \cap \lambda(B)$

3. (**Schur decomposition**) If  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ , then there exists a unitary  $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  such that  $Q^H A Q = T$  and  $T$  is upper-triangular. Also, the eigenvalues of  $A$  necessarily appear on the diagonal of  $T$ .
4. Argue that the above three results have obvious real analogues.

5. (**Real Schur decomposition**) If  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ , then there exists *orthogonal*  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  such that

$$Q^T A Q = \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} & \dots & R_{1m} \\ 0 & R_{22} & \dots & R_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & R_{mm} \end{bmatrix}$$

where each  $R_{ii}$  is either a  $1 \times 1$  matrix, or a  $2 \times 2$  matrix having complex conjugate eigenvalues.

## 2 Power and QR iterations

1. (**Shift**) Show that if  $Ax = \lambda x$  and  $\sigma$  is any scalar which is not an eigenvalue of  $A$ , then  $(A - \sigma I)x = (\lambda - \sigma)x$ . Thus the eigenvalues of  $(A - \sigma I)$  are *shifted* from those of  $A$  by  $\sigma$  and the eigenvectors are unchanged.
2. (**Inverse**) Show that if  $A$  is nonsingular and  $Ax = \lambda x$  with  $x \neq 0$ , then  $\lambda$  is necessarily nonzero, and  $A^{-1}x = (1/\lambda)x$ .
3. (**Power**) Show that if  $Ax = \lambda x$  then  $A^2x = \lambda^2x$ . More generally, if  $k$  is any positive integer, then  $A^kx = \lambda^kx$ .
4. Given the *Rayleigh quotient* of a vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ :

$$r(x) = \frac{x^t A x}{x^t x}$$

show that the gradient of  $r(x)$  (vector of partial derivatives with respect to coordinates  $x_j$ ) is given as

$$\nabla r(x) = \frac{2}{x^t x} (Ax - r(x)x)$$

Conclude that at an eigenvector  $x$  of  $A$ , the gradient of  $r(x)$  is the zero vector. Conversely, if  $\nabla r(x) = 0$  with  $x \neq 0$ , then  $x$  is an eigenvector and  $r(x)$  is the corresponding eigenvalue.

5. Let  $q_J$  be an eigenvector of  $A$ . From the fact that  $\nabla r(q_J) = 0$  together with smoothness of the function  $r(x)$  (everywhere except at the origin), conclude that

$$r(x) - r(q_J) = O(\|x - q_J\|^2) \text{ as } x \rightarrow q_J$$

6. Consider the *power* iteration:

$$\begin{aligned} v^{(0)} &= \text{some vector with } \|v^{(0)}\| = 1 \\ \text{for } k &= 1, 2, \dots \\ w &= Av^{(k-1)} \\ v^{(k)} &= w/\|w\| \\ \lambda^{(k)} &= (v^{(k)})^t Av^{(k)} \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| \geq \dots |\lambda_m|$  and  $q_1^t v^{(0)} \neq 0$ . Show that the iterates satisfy

$$\|v^{(k)} - (\pm q_1)\| = O\left(\left|\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right|^k\right) \quad \text{and} \quad |\lambda^{(k)} - \lambda_1| = O\left(\left|\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right|^{2k}\right)$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

7. Consider the *inverse* iteration:

$$\begin{aligned} v^{(0)} &= \text{some vector with } \|v^{(0)}\| = 1 \\ \text{for } k &= 1, 2, \dots \\ \text{Solve } (A - \sigma I)w &= v^{(k-1)} \text{ for } w \\ v^{(k)} &= w/\|w\| \\ \lambda^{(k)} &= (v^{(k)})^t Av^{(k)} \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $\lambda_J$  is the closest eigenvalue to  $\sigma$  and  $\lambda_K$  is the second closest, that is,  $|\sigma - \lambda_J| < |\sigma - \lambda_K| \leq |\sigma - \lambda_j|$  for each  $j \neq J$  and  $q_J^t v^{(0)} \neq 0$ . Then, show that the iterates of the inverse iteration satisfy

$$\|v^{(k)} - (\pm q_J)\| = O\left(\left|\frac{\sigma - \lambda_J}{\sigma - \lambda_K}\right|^k\right) \quad \text{and} \quad |\lambda^{(k)} - \lambda_J| = O\left(\left|\frac{\sigma - \lambda_J}{\sigma - \lambda_K}\right|^{2k}\right)$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

8. Consider the *Rayleigh quotient* iteration:

$$\begin{aligned} v^{(0)} &= \text{some vector with } \|v^{(0)}\| = 1 \\ \lambda^{(0)} &= (v^{(0)})^t Av^{(0)} \\ \text{for } k &= 1, 2, \dots \\ \text{Solve } (A - \lambda^{(k-1)}I)w &= v^{(k-1)} \text{ for } w \\ v^{(k)} &= w/\|w\| \\ \lambda^{(k)} &= (v^{(k)})^t Av^{(k)} \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $\lambda_J$  is an eigenvalue  $A$  and  $v^{(0)}$  is sufficiently close to  $q_J$ . Then, argue that for almost all starting vectors the iterates of the *Rayleigh quotient* iteration satisfy

$$\|v^{(k+1)} - (\pm q_J)\| = O(\|v^{(k)} - (\pm q_J)\|^3) \text{ and } |\lambda^{(k+1)} - \lambda_J| = O(|\lambda^{(k)} - \lambda_J|^3)$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

9. Consider the following algorithm known as *simultaneous iteration*:

$V^{(0)}$  = some arbitrary  $m \times n$  matrix of rank  $n$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$

$$V^{(k)} = AV^{(k-1)}$$

$$\hat{Q}^{(k)} \hat{R}^{(k)} = V^{(k)}$$

Let  $S_0 = \text{span}(V^{(0)})$  and let  $S$  be the invariant subspace spanned by the eigenvectors  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  of  $A$  corresponding to the  $n$  largest eigenvalues. Suppose that no non-zero vector in  $S$  is orthogonal to  $S_0$ . Show that for any  $k > 0$ , the columns of  $V^{(k)}$  form a basis for  $S_k = A^k S_0$ , and, provided  $\lambda_n > \lambda_{n+1}$ ,  $S_k$  converges to  $S$  (proof analogous to *power iteration*). Hence the final  $\hat{Q}^{(k)}$  gives an orthogonal basis for the invariant subspace.

However, argue that the simultaneous iteration has the effect of carrying out power iteration of each column of  $V^{(0)}$  and hence each column tends to converge to a multiple of the dominant eigenvector of  $A$ . Hence, the columns of  $V^{(k)}$  form an increasingly ill-conditioned basis for  $S_k$ .

10. A remedy to the above is known as *orthogonal iteration*:

$V^{(0)}$  = some arbitrary  $m \times n$  matrix of rank  $n$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\hat{Q}^{(k)} \hat{R}^{(k)} = V^{(k-1)} \text{ (reduced } QR \text{ factorization)}$$

$$V^{(k)} = A\hat{Q}^{(k)}$$

where instead of orthogonalizing at the end, we orthogonalize at every iteration.

Argue that that the matrices  $V^{(k)}$  produced by the orthogonal version of simultaneous iteration converge to an  $m \times n$  matrix  $V$  whose columns

form a basis for same invariant subspace. Also, because  $\text{span}(\hat{Q}^{(k)}) = \text{span}(V^{(k-1)})$ , the matrices  $\hat{Q}^{(k)}$  converge to an orthonormal basis for the same subspace.

Also, we know that there exists an  $n \times n$  matrix  $B$  such that  $A\hat{Q} = \hat{Q}B$ . Argue that for any  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , the first  $j$  columns of  $\hat{Q}$  (or  $V$ ) are the same as if the iteration has been carried out on the first  $j$  columns of  $A$ , and the remaining  $n - j$  columns of  $\hat{Q}$  can be expanded into a basis for the complementary subspace. Thus, if  $\lambda_j > \lambda_{j+1}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , then  $B$  must be triangular. Conclude that simultaneous orthogonal iterations lead to a *Schur decomposition* of  $A$ .

11. Consider the following iterations

(a) Simultaneous orthogonal iteration

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{Q}^{(0)} &= I \\ \text{for } k &= 1, 2, \dots \\ \underline{Z} &= A\underline{Q}^{(k-1)} \\ \underline{Q}^{(k)}\underline{R}^{(k)} &= \underline{Z} \text{ (QR factorization)} \\ \underline{A}^{(k)} &= (\underline{Q}^{(k)})^t A \underline{Q}^{(k)} \end{aligned}$$

(b) Unshifted  $QR$  iteration

$$\begin{aligned} A^{(0)} &= A \\ \text{for } k &= 1, 2, \dots \\ \underline{Q}^{(k)}\underline{R}^{(k)} &= A^{(k-1)} \text{ (QR factorization)} \\ \underline{A}^{(k)} &= \underline{R}^{(k)}\underline{Q}^{(k)} \\ \underline{Q}^{(k)} &= \underline{Q}^{(1)}\underline{Q}^{(2)} \dots \underline{Q}^{(k)} \end{aligned}$$

Additionally, for both algorithms, let

$$\underline{R}^{(k)} = \underline{R}^{(k)}\underline{R}^{(k-1)} \dots \underline{R}^{(1)}$$

Show, by induction on  $k$ , that both generate identical sequences of matrices  $\underline{R}^{(k)}$ ,  $\underline{Q}^{(k)}$  and  $\underline{A}^{(k)}$ , namely, those defined by the  $QR$  factorization of the  $k^{\text{th}}$  power of  $A$ ,

$$A^k = \underline{Q}^{(k)}\underline{R}^{(k)}$$

together with the projection

$$\underline{A}^{(k)} = (\underline{Q}^{(k)})^t A \underline{Q}^{(k)}$$

12. Using all of the above convince yourself of the rationale behind the *practical QR algorithm*

$$(Q^{(0)})^t A^{(0)} Q^{(0)} = A \text{ (Hessenberg reduction)}$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Pick a shift  $\mu^{(k)}$  (e.g., choose  $\mu^{(k)} = A_{mm}^{(k-1)}$ )

$$Q^{(k)} R^{(k)} = A^{(k-1)} - \mu^{(k)} I \text{ (QR factorization)}$$

$$A^{(k)} = R^{(k)} Q^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)} I \text{ (re-combine factors in reverse order)}$$

If any sub-diagonal entry in  $A^{(k)}$  is sufficiently close to zero, set it to zero to obtain

$$A^{(k)} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \text{ (deflation)}$$

and apply the *QR algorithm* to  $A_{11}$  and  $A_{22}$