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Abstract
Network processing elements in virtual machines, also known as Network Function Virtualization (NFV) often face CPU bottlenecks at the virtualization interface. Even highly optimized paravirtual device interfaces fall short of the throughput requirements of modern devices. Passthrough devices, together with SR-IOV support for multiple device virtual functions (VF) and IOMMU support, mitigate this problem somewhat, by allowing a VM to directly control a device partition bypassing the virtualization stack. However, device passthrough is riddled with its own problems of low consolidation ratios, relatively static resource partitioning, and difficulties in VM migration.

We present a paravirtual interface that securely exposes an I/O device directly to the guest OS running inside the VM, and yet allows that device to be securely shared among multiple VMs and the host. Compared to the best-known paravirtualization interfaces, our paravirtual interface supports up to 2x higher throughput, and is closer in performance to device passthrough. Unlike device passthrough however, we do not require SR-IOV or IOMMU support, and allow fine-grained dynamic resource allocation, significantly higher consolidation ratios, and seamless VM migration. Our security mechanism is based on a novel approach called dynamic binary opcode subtraction.

1. Introduction
Today’s networks rely on “middleboxes” [14, 29] (also called network appliances) for a variety of network processing needs, such as overlay network switches, firewalls, load balancers, routers, etc. Network function virtualization (NFV) proposes shifting middlebox processing from specialized hardware appliances to software running on commodity hardware. Further, NFV benefits significantly from virtualization capabilities, to significantly improve resource utilization [9], and allow sharing of hardware resources among multiple (and potentially untrusted) tenants [9, 20].

Virtualized network appliances, however present a new set of performance challenges. For example, ClickOS [20] showed that the network stack on the Xen hypervisor [2] falls far short of the maximum achievable throughput on a 10Gbps NIC, using commodity x86 hardware. Because the original network stacks were not designed for such high-throughput workloads, inefficiencies lurk at multiple levels in current network stacks: (a) guest-side and host-side user/kernel network API (e.g., socket API) was not designed to handle such workloads; (b) the device virtualization interface between the guest and the host (e.g., virtio [19]) is often a performance bottleneck; and (c) the host-side network bridge/switch (e.g., Linux bridge, Open vSwitch [23]) is usually incapable of handling high rates of traffic.

The netmap framework [25] proposes an efficient user/kernel interface, best suited for high-throughput I/O. A netmap-capable user process maps shared-memory producer-consumer rings to communicate efficiently with the kernel. This allows a zero-copy interface between the user and the kernel, and also allows the user to perform I/O in batches, thus amortizing the cost of traversing the kernel’s network stack over multiple packets. This results in high overall throughputs. For example, netmap’s pkt-gen [25] can saturate a 10Gbps link with a single 3GHz core using 64B packets (14.88 Mpps), while the socket-based network stack reaches only about a third of the maximum achievable throughput [25].

However, if netmap is used inside a VM, performance bottlenecks emerge at the device virtualization interface. Software-emulated devices exhibit significant CPU overheads related to faithful execution of the device state machine in software. Paravirtual interfaces for device virtualization are relatively faster, but even they prove inadequate in such high-throughput settings. Table 1a shows the throughput of running netmap’s pkt-gen inside a virtual machine using the virtio-based paravirtual device [19]. The virtio interface involves shared-memory communication between the guest and the host through producer-consumer rings. The table shows results for three different implementations of the host-side networking stack, namely tap, tap-vhost, and netmap (more details in Section 5). Compared to bare-metal, the performance penalty of the virtio interface is
One of the central ideas in this paper is dynamic binary opcode subtraction, or DBOS. DBOS enables the hypervisor to restrict VM behaviour; we use DBOS to implement the requisite security required in the I/O virtualization stack. Table 1a shows results using our approach (labeled netmap-fastio) to paravirtualization. Using fastio, the I/O throughput achievable inside the VM is comparable to bare-metal performance, even on a single processor. We achieve this for an off-the-shelf guest OS (Linux), without assumptions about SR-IOV and IOMMU hardware support. Our interface supports fair allocation of device resources among untrusted VMs, and allows fast switching among them. Compared to netmap-based VALE [26], our software switch provides up to 10x higher throughput.

In summary, this paper makes two primary contributions:

- We present a fast device paravirtualization mechanism which exhibits close to bare-metal performance. Compared to conventional paravirtualization (e.g., virtio), our scheme provides up to 25x higher throughput. Compared to highly hand-optimized I/O virtualization stacks (e.g., ClickOS [20]), we achieve around 2x throughput improvement for small 60B packets, and around 30% throughput improvement for 1500B packets.

- We introduce a novel security mechanism, DBOS, which allows a hypervisor to restrict guest behaviour. We demonstrate an application of DBOS to improve I/O virtualization performance.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides relevant background on network processing and switching; we discuss our DBOS-based solution along with its security considerations in Section 3. The operation of our guest-side paravirtual driver is presented in Section 4. Section 5 presents our experiments and results; Section 6 discusses design considerations and alternate design choices; Section 7 discusses related work, and Section 8 concludes.

### Table 1: Single-guest throughput on (a) multiprocessor and (b) uniprocessor hosts with a 10Gbps NIC. All numbers are given in Kpps (thousand packets per second). To calculate throughput, use Mbps = Kpps * (pktsize + 20.2) * 8. netmap-fastio-no-rzc refers to fastio without receive-side zero-copy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>tx(Kpps)</th>
<th>rx(Kpps)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>socket-baremetal</td>
<td>60B 1500B</td>
<td>60B 1500B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>socket-virtio-vhost</td>
<td>470 180</td>
<td>394 214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>netmap-baremetal</td>
<td>250 170</td>
<td>300 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>netmap-virtio</td>
<td>14810 820</td>
<td>13304 820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>netmap-virtio-vhost</td>
<td>236 193</td>
<td>306 268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>netmap-virtio-netmap</td>
<td>357 285</td>
<td>416 422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>netmap-fastio</td>
<td>154 154</td>
<td>-  -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>netmap-fastio-no-rzc</td>
<td>14704 815</td>
<td>13292 816</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>tx(Kpps)</th>
<th>rx(Kpps)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>netmap-baremetal</td>
<td>60B 1500B</td>
<td>60B 1500B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>netmap-virtio</td>
<td>14600 822</td>
<td>13620 820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>netmap-virtio-vhost</td>
<td>188 182</td>
<td>35 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>netmap-fastio</td>
<td>331 256</td>
<td>68 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>netmap-fastio-no-rzc</td>
<td>14632 815</td>
<td>13001 816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>netmap-fastio-no-rzc</td>
<td>-  -</td>
<td>13049 811</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b)

Significant. The penalty is even more pronounced in CPU-constrained settings. To show this, we also show experiments with uniprocessor hosts using the virtio interface. While guest-host communication involves cacheline transfers in multiprocessor hosts, uniprocessor hosts require expensive VM exits. Further, sharing the network device among multiple VMs incurs performance penalties at the host-side switch.

These CPU bottlenecks indicate that our I/O virtualization stacks are perhaps a bit too “deep”. The cost of traversing the I/O virtualization stack (even with paravirtualization) is often more than the cost of actual network processing. This I/O virtualization cost stems primarily from the need to secure the host and the device from untrusted VMs, which forces us to use narrow interfaces between the VM and the host (such as producer-consumer rings) resulting in relatively deep I/O stacks.

In this paper, we show that security can be provided in alternate ways; we use this observation to make the I/O stack significantly thinner. We allow a VM to have direct visibility into the hardware device. The VM can read/write to the hardware device without host intervention. Yet, we ensure that an untrusted VM cannot harm the host and/or other guests.
The network processing elements inside a virtual machine require device virtualization. Unlike full virtualization, paravirtualization allows flexibility in choosing the right VM/hypervisor interface for optimum performance. Today, device paravirtualization is typically done using a shared-memory producer-consumer ring between the guest and the host. For example, KVM/Virtio sets up shared-memory rings between the guest and the Qemu process. On the transmit path, the guest writes to the shared ring and the host-side Qemu process reads from it. Similarly, data flows in the reverse direction on the receive path. As an optimization, the host-side kernel may read/write to the queue (virtio-vhost) instead of the Qemu process.

A host-side software switch (e.g., Linux tun/tap, Open vSwitch), typically implemented as a part of the kernel multiplexes/demultiplexes packets for multiple guests. Current switches are unable to sustain high throughputs; e.g., the tap interface on Linux and Open vSwitch peak at around 300-600Kpps [20, 26]. A recent software-switch, VALE, takes advantage of the fact that its ports may be using the netmap API. Using this, VALE implements switching in batches, thus exposing opportunities for improving forwarding performance, and optimizing cache utilization through prefetching. Even with these optimizations, a VALE switch together with the virtio-vhost interface, can handle only up to 3.5Mpps [26].

Due to these limitations, a common approach today for high-performance networking with virtual machines, is device-passthrough, whereby a NIC can be exposed directly to a VM. Device passthrough reduces scalability, as the device is exclusively controlled by the given virtual machine. This problem is mitigated by modern NICs supporting hardware multi-queuing, VMDq, and SR-IOV [15]. Further, device passthrough complicates live migration, and requires IOMMU support for security.

We show that it is possible to achieve equivalent performance as device-passthrough without compromising scalability, or live-migration. We also do not require SR-IOV or IOMMU hardware support.

### 3. Our Solution

Our paravirtual device driver, called fastio driver, is different from current paravirtual drivers (e.g., virtio) in several ways. Firstly, we require that our device driver should authenticate itself with the hypervisor at load-time. Once authenticated, the hypervisor can trust the fastio driver with privileged device state. The authentication and trust is maintained in multiple steps:

1. Our fastio driver does not rely on any read/write static data, i.e., its binary object file contains only code pages and read-only static data. For all other memory needs, the driver must use “special” stack space or heap memory. As we see later, the hypervisor ensures that this stack and heap memory remain private to our driver, i.e., the rest of the guest kernel cannot read/write to it.

2. At load time, the fastio driver loads its code and read-only data pages in the guest memory, and informs the hypervisor about its loaded addresses and size, using a hypercall.

3. Using page-protection bits in the x86 extended page tables (EPTs) [17], the hypervisor write-protects all code and data pages of the fastio driver. This allows the hypervisor to ensure that the guest OS cannot change the driver code/data, after it has been loaded and authenticated.

4. The hypervisor verifies the contents of the driver’s code pages. We perform this verification using cryptography-based digital-signatures. The fastio driver presents a signed certificate (signed by the hypervisor) which certifies the contents of the code pages; the hypervisor computes a sha1 hash of the code pages, and ensure that it matches with the value presented in the signed certificate.

Next, we expose the privileged device state to the guest, by mapping its memory addresses (including MMIO addresses) in the guest’s physical address space (GPA space). This involves creating mappings in the guest’s EPT for the device data structures at “privileged GPA addresses”, or PG-PAs. We need to ensure that the PG-PAs are distinct from the actual guest physical memory addresses, to avoid conflicts. In our prototype implementation, we use addresses above 4GB for PG-PAs; we assume that all our guests have less than 4GB RAM. This is not a fundamental restriction, as PG-PAs can be chosen to be arbitrarily large in a 64-bit address space. Mapping privileged state at PG-PAs, exposes the hypervisor to attacks from the untrusted guest. To prevent these attacks, we next ensure that the PG-PAs cannot be mapped in the guest’s virtual address space, unless the hypervisor explicitly allows it to do so. Essentially, we will try to ensure that the fastio driver is allowed to map the PG-PAs in its address space, while the rest of the guest kernel is not allowed to do so.

If the hypervisor can successfully ensure that the PG-PAs are not mapped in the guest’s virtual address space (GVA space), it effectively ensures that the guest cannot access the PGPA addresses. We configure the virtualization hardware to ensure that a VM exit occurs on every change to the guest’s virtual address space, i.e., an exit should occur on every execution of the `mov-to-cr3`, `mov-to-cr4`, `mov-to-cr0`, and other privileged instruction that can po-
Potentially change the VA space. On VM exits resulting from these instructions, the hypervisor checks the new GVA space to ensure that no mappings exist to our PGAs in it. For example, on the execution of a mov-to-cr3 instruction inside the guest, a VM exit occurs, and the hypervisor walks the page table to ensure that none of its entries point to the PGAs.

Because x86 paging allows changes to the VA space through simple modifications to the page-table entries, we further mark all the page-table pages read-only on every cr3 load. Thus, while walking the guest’s page table during the VM-exit caused by the mov-to-cr3 instruction, the hypervisor marks all the GPAs corresponding to the page-table pages as read-only. Any future write access by the guest to its page-table pages, causes an EPT violation, resulting in a VM exit. The hypervisor then emulates the exiting instruction within the hypervisor before returning control back to the VM.

Using this, we ensure that the guest can never directly access the PGA space. This solution requires VM exits on every execution of the mov-to-cr3 instruction (among other such instructions that can change the address space) and on every write access to a page-table page within the guest. Now, the hypervisor needs to implement a mechanism which allows our fastio driver to access the PGA space directly (but still disallows the rest of the guest kernel from doing so).

The hypervisor sets up a special page-table, called the privileged page table PPT, using pages in the read-only data section of the fastio driver. Notice that the hypervisor is free to write to the PPT, even though the PPT pages appear read-only to the guest kernel. The PPT will contain mappings to the PGA space, and the fastio driver can switch to it using the mov-to-cr3 instruction to access the device state directly. The PPT would also contain mappings for the guest’s kernel data structures, so that the driver can efficiently communicate between the device and the guest kernel. In our 32-bit implementation, our PPT maps the entire guest kernel in the PPT (at addresses above 0xc0000000), and uses the “userspace addresses” (0x00000000-0xc0000000) to map the privileged device state.

The fastio driver’s pseudo-code is shown in Figure 1. The driver first disables interrupts (line 2), then loads the address of the PPT in %eax register (line 3), and finally, executes mov-to-cr3 to load the PPT (line 5). (We discuss the need for save/restore of the stack pointer later). The body of the fastio driver can now access the device and the guest’s data structures to efficiently implement the transmit/receive logic. In particular, it transfers packets between the guest’s netmap ring and the device’s hardware ring. Finally, after the body of the fastio driver has executed, the mov-to-cr3 instruction is executed to restore the guest’s original page table (line 13), before restoring the original interrupt flag and returning to the caller. (We discuss the need for lines 14-15 later).

```c
fastio_driver() {
    save_flags(); # save flags
    cli; # clear interrupts
    movl $ppt, %eax # eax <- PPT addr
    tmp = read_cr3(); # save old cr3 value
    movl %eax, %cr3 # load new page table
    save_sp(); # save stack pointer
    movl $pstk, %esp # use priv stack
    fastio_body(); # calls txsync/rxsync
    restore_sp(); # restore old stackptr
    movl tmp, %eax # eax <- old cr3 value
    movl %eax, %cr3 # load old page table
    if (%eax == $ppt) vmcall # alert the hypervisor
    restore_flags(); # re-enable interrupts
    ret; # return to caller
}
```

Figure 1: Fastio driver pseudo-code

Apart from security considerations, this solution has a serious performance concern. Every call to the fastio driver involves two executions of the mov-to-cr3 instruction, and each of them will cause a VM exit in our model. The performance overhead of these exits is likely to be more than the overhead of the virtio interface, which only required one exit (or no exits for multiprocessor hosts). Ideally, we would like to ensure that the two mov-to-cr3 instructions executed by our fastio driver do not cause VM exits, while the other mov-to-cr3 instructions executed by the guest kernel cause VM exits.

This differentiation is perhaps hard to achieve efficiently, through runtime mechanisms alone. We use dynamic binary opcode subtraction (DBOS) to solve this problem. DBOS involves ensuring that an opcode is not present in the guest’s executable address space. To implement DBOS, the hypervisor removes execute-privileges from all guest pages, except the fastio driver’s code pages. This is done at the time when the fastio driver is loaded, and the hypervisor verifies it. Subsequently, any instruction execution by the guest OS (outside of the fastio driver) would cause a VM exit resulting from an EPT execute-privileges violation. At this point, the hypervisor scans the page containing the instruction being executed to ascertain the absence of the mov-to-cr3 opcode in that page. Checking the absence of the mov-to-cr3 opcode involves checking the absence of the following byte sequence in the page: 0x00, 0x20, “B”. Here B is any byte that satisfies the equation: (B & 0x38 == 0x18), i.e., the bits 3, 4, and 5 of B should be equal to 0b011 (cr3). The prime observation is that if a byte sequence corresponding to an opcode is not even present in the executable address space of a guest, the guest can never execute that opcode. In the rest of the paper, we will also call this sequence of bytes representing
the mov-to-cr3 opcode, the 2.5-byte sequence (two exact bytes, and one byte with three bits set to a certain value).

We noticed that it is quite rare to find the presence of the 2.5-byte sequence in typical code. For example, the only code pages in the Linux kernel that contain this 2.5-byte sequence are the mov-to-cr3 instructions themselves. Notice that while ascertaining the absence of a byte sequence, we disregard any assumptions about instruction boundaries. We call this technique “dynamic binary opcode subtraction”, because it subtracts an opcode dynamically from the execution stream of a guest.

The mov-to-cr3 opcode needs to be subtracted not just from the guest kernel’s code stream, but also from the user programs’ execution stream running within the guest. If we disregard user programs, the guest can launch a simple attack, whereby it can branch to a user code page with kernel privileges to execute the mov-to-cr3 instruction. Even in the user code pages, the presence of the 2.5-byte sequence is extremely rare. In fact, in all our experiments involving execution of several programs shipped with stock Ubuntu Linux, including the SPEC Integer programs, we did not find the presence of the 2.5-byte sequence in any of them.

If a page containing the current executing instruction (and causing the EPT violation) does not contain the 2.5-byte sequence, we restore executable privileges on it. To guard against attacks involving page-boundaries, we also check the successor and predecessor pages of the currently executing page. If either of them has already been marked executable, we ensure that the 2.5-byte sequence does not appear even if the two pages are consider together as one contiguous block. Similarly, each time an executable page is installed in a page table (through a page table update, hence causing a VM exit), we perform the same check again to ensure that the executable page’s new neighbours do not cause the presence of the 2.5-byte sequence.

When we mark a page with execute privileges, we also take away write privileges from that page (again through manipulation of page-protection bits in the corresponding EPT entry). If that page is ever written-to subsequently (to implement page-swapping, for example), an EPT violation occurs — in this case, the hypervisor removes execute privileges from that page, and re-instates write privileges on that page. This mechanism can also handle dynamically generated and self-modifying code.

This scheme works well if none of the guest pages contain the 2.5-byte sequence. However, if a page (or a combination of two successive pages in the GVA space) indeed contains the 2.5-byte sequence, the hypervisor needs to handle it gracefully. A straw-man solution is to never grant execute privileges to any such page, causing an EPT violation each time an instruction on that page gets executed. This is likely to result in a huge slowdown, especially if multiple instructions within a page execute a large number of times (e.g., a loop). We instead use in-place binary patching to deal with such situations efficiently.

We patch any instruction containing the 2.5-byte sequence, with the single-byte int3 opcode (0xcc), resulting in a VM exit on its execution. (We configure the virtualization hardware to generate a VM exit on the int3 instruction). The hypervisor keeps track of all such patches, and emulates the original instruction on the patch-induced VM exits. The use of the int3 instruction does not preclude the guest from using it for its own purposes (e.g., debugging), as the hypervisor can easily differentiate between guest’s int3 and hypervisor’s patched int3. The only remaining complication is that of identifying the instruction boundary containing the 2.5-byte sequence. As we discussed earlier, in all our experiments, the only occurrence of the 2.5-byte sequence involved an actual mov-to-cr3 instruction within the guest. Hence, simply patching all the 3 bytes in the sequence would achieve the desired result. If we patch all the 3 bytes, we also take care of cases, where the 2.5-byte sequence straddles two instructions, i.e., some of the bytes belong to one instruction, while others belong to the successor instruction.

In general, it is possible that the 2.5-byte sequence appears in the middle of an instruction. In this case, if we simply patch the sequence, the guest’s instruction semantics can change (causing the guest to get incorrectly confused). Here is an example of an instruction that could get incorrectly patched:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>assembly</th>
<th>binary representation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mov $0x18200f, %eax</td>
<td>0xb8, 0xf0, 0x20, 0x18, 0x0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This instruction contains the 2.5-byte sequence, and if we patched it with the int3 opcode, we would replace it with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>assembly</th>
<th>binary representation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mov $0xcccccc, %eax</td>
<td>0xb8, 0xc0, 0xc0, 0xc0, 0x0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hence, this instruction would silently behave incorrectly (without causing a VM exit) if patched by us. To deal with this situation, we need to identify the instruction boundary of the instruction containing the 2.5-byte sequence, and patch its first byte (along with patching the 2.5 bytes sequence itself). In this example, we should have also replaced the first byte 0xb8 with 0xc0. Doing so would ensure that a VM exit occurs on the execution of this instruction, allowing the hypervisor to emulate it correctly.

Our current method for identifying instruction boundaries involves tracking the values of eip for each process/kernel (identified using the value of the cr3 register). On noticing a 2.5-byte sequence, we start disassembling instructions from a known predecessor eip in the current GVA space. Using this disassembly, we can identify the boundary of the instruction containing the 2.5-byte sequence. If a predecessor eip is not known yet, we simply leave the page without execute privileges, and emulate the instructions in the hypervisor if that page executes again, in the hope that eventually
we will find some predecessor \texttt{eip} to the 2.5-byte sequence. If we still do not find a predecessor \texttt{eip} after a large number of EPT-induced VM exits on that page, we simply patch the 2.5-byte sequence with the \texttt{int3} opcodes. We had to do this for one page during our experiments on the Linux kernel, where we found a \texttt{mov-to-cr3} instruction in the first page of the 32-bit Linux kernel image (v3.9.0). None of the instructions preceding this instruction (including this instruction) ever executed after loading the driver, and so we could not reliably determine the instruction boundary. In this particular case, patching the 2.5-byte sequence was anyways the correct thing to do. Notice that mis-identification (or non-identification) of instruction boundaries does not pose a security risk; it can only cause misbehaviour within a guest. If a guest is aware of our approach, it can easily help the VMM by avoiding such situations.

Using DBOS, we configure the virtualization hardware to disallow another processor from trying to interfere with our experiments on the Linux kernel. We ensure that the fastio code does not itself contain the 2.5-byte sequence, except at entry and exit; instead we use DBOS and binary patching to ensure that the fastio code executes the \texttt{mov-to-cr3} instruction in the fastio driver. This enables us to have an exitless I/O path between guest-fastio-guest, and yet provide direct visibility into the hardware device state to fastio. This enables us to obtain I/O performance close to bare-metal, within the guest. The fastio driver can now be used, not just to access the hardware device, but also to access other privileged state of the host/other VMs, and to implement fast VM-to-VM and VM-to-host communication.

We next discuss the security threats to our scheme, and our solutions to them.

3.1 Security

Our security model relies on the inability of the guest to change its virtual address space without hypervisor intervention. We achieve this by ensuring that the guest’s executable address space cannot contain the \texttt{mov-to-cr3} opcode. We configure the virtualization hardware such that all guest instructions that can potentially modify its address space cause VM exits, with the exception of the \texttt{mov-to-cr3} instruction. The \texttt{mov-to-cr3} instruction does not cause an exit within our fastio driver. This enables us to have an exitless I/O path between guest-fastio-guest, and yet provide direct visibility into the hardware device state to fastio. This enables us to obtain I/O performance close to bare-metal, within the guest. The fastio driver can now be used, not just to access the hardware device, but also to access other privileged state of the host/other VMs, and to implement fast VM-to-VM and VM-to-host communication.

We next discuss the security threats to our scheme, and our solutions to them.

Jumping to one of fastio’s mov-to-cr3 instruction with an arbitrary value in the eax register

The guest could load a pointer to an arbitrary page table structure in the \texttt{eax} register and jump directly to one of the \texttt{mov-to-cr3} instructions in the fastio driver. This can cause an arbitrary page table to get loaded within the guest. This new page table could contain mappings to the PGPA pages; further, the new page table may map the virtual address corresponding to the EIP register to a totally different GPA, thus allowing execution of arbitrary code while the PGPA pages are mapped.

Fortunately, this attack can be prevented by using a feature in x86 virtualization hardware, called “CR3 target controls”. Using this, it is possible to configure the hardware such that VM exits occur on each execution of the \texttt{mov-to-cr3} instruction, except when the value being loaded into the \texttt{cr3} register is one of the values specified in CR3 target controls. The x86 hardware supports specification of up to four target controls, and this capability was perhaps included for efficient shadow-page-table based virtualization.

We use this interesting capability in the following way: we configure the hardware to exit whenever the guest executes the \texttt{mov-to-cr3} opcode, except when the value being loaded into the \texttt{cr3} register is the PPT address. We do so by specifying the PPT address as one of the CR3 target controls. We use the other three CR3 target controls as a cache for recently seen values of the \texttt{cr3} register at the time of the call to the fastio driver.

Assuming that there are a small number of processes (typically one) accessing the fastio driver, the \texttt{cr3} values
for these processes would get cached in the CR3 target controls. Hence, VM exits would be avoided at fastio entry (because the loaded CR3 value is the PPT address which is one of the CR3 target controls) and at fastio exit (because the loaded CR3 value would typically be one of the cached values in the CR3 target controls). In all our experiments involving netmap, there was only one value of cr3 observed at fastio entry, which was easily cached using the CR3 target controls, resulting in exitless guest-fastio-guest path.

Now, the original attack, whereby the guest can jump to fastio’s mov-to-cr3 instruction with an arbitrary value in eax, is thwarted because the value in the eax register is not going to be one of the CR3 target controls. Hence, a VM exit would occur and the hypervisor can interpose and prevent the attack.

Further, the guest may try to set its eax register to one of the cached target controls, and then branch to fastio’s mov-to-cr3, thus avoiding the VM exit. The only security threat from this behavior could occur if the guest uses the PPT’s address in the eax register; all other cached target controls do not map the PGPA space. We next discuss these attacks in detail.

Jumping to fastio’s entry mov-to-cr3 instruction with PPT’s address in the eax register

The guest could jump directly to the first mov-to-cr3 instruction (at fastio driver entry) without disabling interrupts. Because the guest will only try to load the PPT into the cr3 register, a VM exit will not occur (as the PPT address is one of the CR3 target controls). This can potentially allow the guest to receive an external interrupt (as the interrupts were not disabled), and execute its untrusted interrupt handler while the PPT is operational. To prevent this attack, we configure the virtualization hardware such that all external interrupts cause VM exits. Because our experiments involve high-throughput workloads, our fastio driver operates in polling mode, and this extra interrupt handling cost does not cause performance degradation. In Section 6, we discuss a solution which allows the guest to directly handle hardware interrupts (through Intel’s VT-d posted interrupts hardware feature [16]) without VM exits, and yet ensures VM exits on any interrupts received while the PPT was operational.

Thus, we can effectively ensure that the hypervisor gets to interpose on any external interrupt received while the PPT was operational. The hypervisor identifies the attack by determining if the PPT was operational while the interrupt was received, and throttles it (potentially by terminating the guest).

Jumping to fastio’s exit mov-to-cr3 instruction with PPT’s address in the eax register

The guest could load the PPT’s address in a register and branch to the second mov-to-cr3 instruction (at fastio driver exit). This can enable the guest to execute untrusted code inside fastio’s caller while the PPT is operational. To thwart this attack, we further add a check at fastio’s exit (after the mov-to-cr3 instruction has executed) to confirm that the current value of cr3 is not the PPT’s address. If it is, the guest makes a hypercall to alert the hypervisor. Because interrupts are not allowed while this code is executing, and the PPT is guaranteed to map the fastio code pages correctly (and only in one place), this ensures that such an attack gets thwarted.

If the guest tries to jump anywhere in the middle of our fastio driver, it does not pose a security risk, as that cannot allow the guest to load the PPT or otherwise obtain the capability to map the PGPA pages in its GVA space, other than in ways discussed above.

4. The fastio driver

The fastio driver is our privileged code (TCB) can access both guest’s data structures and the hypervisor’s privileged state (including device state). The driver acts as a bridge between the guest kernel and the device, and also allows sharing of the device among multiple VMs and the host.

4.1 Setup

To simplify design, we use the same fastio driver, both within the guests, and at the host. The host’s fastio driver performs a few extra operations related to initialization of the actual hardware device. At boot time, the host loads the fastio driver, thus initializing the hardware device, and initializing a PPT for its own use. The host’s PPT maps the host kernel (at their original virtual addresses) and the device pages at a fixed virtual address, say PDVA (privileged device virtual address). After host’s PPT has been correctly initialized, the host can now use the fastio driver to communicate to/from the device. For example, a transmit call from the host involves switching to the PPT (within the host) and transferring packets from the host kernel to the device. For 32-bit Linux, we use the top 1GB of the VA space for the host kernel (0xc0000000-0xffffffff), and use 516 contiguous pages starting at PDVA to map the device state. For our prototype, we use PDVA = 4MB (it must not overlap with the kernel’s address space). Of the 516 device pages in the PPT, 512 pages are for device MMIO, and four pages are for storing the device rings. Because we use the netmap API for the device driver, whereby all rings and buffers are pre-allocated by the kernel, the fastio driver is also responsible for allocating the host’s netmap rings/buffers at load-time.

The initialization of the guest-side fastio driver is relatively simple. The fastio driver authenticates itself to the host, and allocates its netmap rings/buffers, and ensures that the guest kernel can see an attached NIC. The guest’s fastio driver also initializes its PPT, which contains mappings for the device state. These mappings are made at the same virtual addresses in all guest/host PPTs.

Besides device state, we also need mappings inside the PPT for the buffers and rings of the host and other guests.
This is required to allow sharing of the network device; one guest should be able to receive packets for other guests/host inside its fastio driver. We follow a convention, whereby each guest (and host) is allocated a fixed amount of VA space in the PPT, to map its network buffers. In our experiments, a 16MB space for each guest is enough to map all its network rings and buffers. These mappings start at a fixed address, called ppt_va_start, which must be distinct from the kernel addresses, and the addresses used to map the device state. In our prototype implementation for 32-bit Linux, we use ppt_va_start = 16MB. The device state is mapped below ppt_va_start and the kernel is mapped starting at address c0000000 (3GB). Thus, the VA space between 16MB and 3GB is available for mapping guest buffers and rings. Assuming 16MB per guest, this allows us to map up to 191 guests inside the PPT at the same time.

Each guest’s slab of VA space in the PPT is laid out in a fixed format: the first few pages are dedicated to the transmit and receive netmap rings, and all the other pages contain the guest’s network buffers. A slot inside a netmap ring contains pointers to the network buffers. Alongwith the original buffer pointers (pointing to the guest kernel addresses of the buffers), we also keep the corresponding PPT pointers (pointing to the same buffer but through the PPT addresses) with each netmap ring slot. The PPT pointers are initialized at fastio load time, as discussed below.

To distinguish between guests, we assign a fastio ID to each guest/host. The host always has ID 0, while guests are given IDs dynamically by the host, using bitmap-based allocation. The netmap buffers of guest ID \( n \) are accessible at virtual address ppt_va_start + \( n \cdot 16MB \) in the PPT. Each guest slab (of 16MB) contains its netmap rings and netmap buffers, laid out sequentially and contiguously.

Mapping between the slabs at ppt_va_start and the corresponding physical memory need to be created dynamically, as guests boot and shutdown. The mapping for the host’s slab remains static, and gets initialized at PPT creation time (alongwith the mappings for the device state). When the fastio driver initializes within the guest, it allocates its netmap rings and buffers, and makes a hypercall to inform the host about the GPA addresses of these rings/buffers. The host translates the GPA addresses to the corresponding host physical addresses (HPA), and creates mappings appropriately in the host’s PPT. Even if the buffers/rings were discontinuous in the guest kernel, they are laid out sequentially and contiguously in the PPT’s address space. Further, the host walks through the netmap rings of the guest, and initializes the PPT pointers inside the netmap ring slots. (Recall that the PPT pointer for a guest buffer is the address at which that buffer was mapped in the PPT in the guest’s slab). These pointers can now be used by the host/other guests to access this guest’s network buffers.

The PPT pointers stored in netmap ring slots are now visible to the untrusted guest, and the guest could potentially modify these pointers to try and confuse the fastio driver. To avoid this attack, our fastio driver performs a base-and-bounds check on the PPT pointer before dereferencing it: \( \text{ppt_pointer} \geq \text{ppt_va_start} + n \cdot 16MB \) and \( \text{ppt_pointer} < \text{ppt_va_start} + (n + 1) \cdot 16MB \), where \( n \) is the guest ID. This base-and-bounds check ensures that the PPT pointer lies within the guest’s PPT slab, and so the guest cannot cause the fastio driver to incorrectly read/write to memory outside its own address space.

Because we have multiple PPTs (one for each guest, and one for the host), we may need to create these mappings inside all PPTs. For the host, we create these mappings immediately (at the time of fastio initialization hypercall). For the guests, we create these mappings on-demand as follows:

1. All guest PPTs are initialized such that they contain GVA→GPA mappings from ppt_va_start (in GVA space) to pgpa_start (in GPA space) for a contiguous block of size \( \text{MAX_GUESTS} \cdot 16MB \). pgpa_start is a PGPA address, i.e., an address in the guest’s physical address space which is distinct from guest’s physical memory. We use pgpa_start = 4GB + 16MB. (Recall that the PGPA addresses in our implementation start at 4GB and are used to map privileged device and hypervisor state).

Thus, the mappings for guest ID \( n \) are accessible at address pgpa_start + \( n \cdot 16MB \) in the GPA space (for all guests). Initially (when there are no guests), these GPA addresses do not map to any host physical addresses (HPA), i.e., the present bit in the corresponding EPT entries is set to zero.

2. If a guest tries to access the netmap buffers of another guest (or its own netmap buffers through the PPT), an EPT violation may result if the corresponding GPA address (in the pgpa_start region) is currently unmapped. If this happens, the hypervisor handles the EPT violation by creating the required GPA→HPA mapping on demand, before resuming the guest.

4.2 Transmit/Receive Path

The fastio driver is trusted and works cooperatively with all the other fastio drivers to transmit/receive network packets. Mutual exclusion among different fastio drivers, is ensured through a shared lock, which is also mapped using the PPT in all guests/host.

On the transmit path, the driver flushes its own tx buffers into the hardware ring On the receive path, the driver consumes packets from the hardware ring, determines the destination for each packet, and copies that packet into the rx buffers of the appropriate guest/host. (Recall that using PPT, guest ID, and PPT pointers, any guest/host can read the rings/buffers of any other guest).

On the receive path, it is possible for a guest to behave "selfishly" by never calling into the fastio driver, and still
enjoying the “service” of other guests (as this guest’s packets would still be received by other guests). Such an attack can easily be prevented by maintaining statistics on the number of fastio calls by each guest, and selectively dropping guest packets on noticing selfish behaviour. Even if all guests are selfish, the host would still be able to receive packets for all of them and for itself.

While we use coarse-grained locking (one shared lock), finer-grained synchronization could potentially increase concurrency and perhaps performance. Further, in high-contention scenarios, it may be better to select a “leader” (or a few leaders) which will be responsible for switching packets for all guests/host. Priorities could also be introduced during acquisition of the shared lock, if needed.

4.3 Zero-Copy

Finally, we try and eliminate packet copies between the hardware ring and the guest/host netmap rings. On the transmit path, zero-copy is straightforward. The fastio driver maintains a hash table, which contains a mapping between PPT pointers (for guest’s network buffers) and their corresponding HPA addresses. Insertions to this table happens at fastio driver initialization time (when PPT pointers are determined by the host during the hypercall). Further the host pins these HPA addresses to memory, i.e. it ensures that its swapper will never swap these addresses to disk.

During transmit, the fastio driver performs a fast hash lookup to convert the PPT pointer of the network buffer (to be transmitted) to its HPA address, and writes the computed HPA address to the hardware ring, thus avoiding packet copies. Using this mechanism, the hardware transmit ring would now contain HPA pointers to buffers belonging to different guests simultaneously.

On the receive path, zero-copy is similar. The guests/host provide PPT pointers to empty buffers, through their netmap rings. The host converts the PPT pointers to their HPA addresses and writes them to the hardware ring. Again, the hardware receive ring would now contain HPA pointers to buffers belonging to different guests simultaneously. The hardware stores the received packets into these buffers. There are two possibilities on the receive path: either the packet-destination is the same as the owner of the buffer in which it was received (match); or the packet-destination is different from the buffer owner (mismatch).

Matches are easy to handle — we simply enqueue the buffer pointer to the destination guest’s netmap receive ring, so the guest can read the contents of the received packet. Mismatches cannot be handled in this way — we cannot enqueue the buffer pointer to the destination guest’s receive ring, as the buffer does not belong to this guest. For mismatches, we allocate a fresh buffer from the destination guest, and copy the packet contents into it before enqueueing the newly allocated buffer into the destination guest’s netmap receive ring.

There are two caveats to receive-side zero-copy. First, it is now possible for one guest to snoop on the packets of another guest (if the first guest’s buffer is used to receive the second guest’s packet). This opens the possibility of one guest launching a man-in-the-middle attack on another guest. Because modern network stacks are usually resilient to man-in-the-middle attacks (through end-to-end encryption, for example), this is usually not an issue. Second, the total number of buffers available to implement the receive stack is now smaller: without zero-copy, there was an extra set of buffers available exclusively to the hardware ring; with zero-copy, the hardware ring relies on the buffers provided by the guest/host netmap rings. The extra set of buffers available to the hardware ring, allow the “double-buffering” effect, whereby the hardware can receive packets on its own set of buffers, while the user application can read already received packets. To avoid this downside, we allocate netmap rings with twice the number of buffers as the hardware ring. This ensures that the double-buffering effect remains intact, even in zero-copy mode.

Due to mismatches, the order of received buffers can be different from the order in which buffers were allocated in the ring. Because, zero-copy receive has slightly weaker security guarantees, and requires more memory, we show results both with and without rx-zero-copy.

5. Experiments

We conducted our experiments on a 4-core machine with 16GB RAM and an Intel X540-T2 10Gbps network adapter. The machine was connected to a 10Gbps network switch. To send/receive packets at the other end, we used another machine with an identical 10Gbps network adapter, also connected to our 10Gbps network switch. We used 32-bit Linux 3.9.0 on our hosts and guest with PAE-paging mode. Our guest was configured with two CPUs, and 1GB memory. For experiments involving a single-core host, our guest was also given only a single CPU. For our netmap-based experiments, we used netmap’s pkt-gen utility to send/receive packets. For experiments involving socket-based I/O, we used the netperf utility.

Our fastio driver is based on the Linux ixgbe driver, with the netmap ixgbe patch. The netmap’s patch defines two functions, “txsync” and “rxsync”, which are used to transmit/receive packets between the user’s netmap rings and the hardware device respectively. The transfers between the netmap rings and the hardware devices are performed in batches, where the batch-size depends on the size of the hardware ring. We used a hardware ring with 512 slots (default). To implement fastio, we modified the txsync and rxsync function to switch to our PPT at entry, and switch back to the original page table at exit. i.e., netmap’s txsync and rxsync functions form the body of our fastio driver (Figure 1). We configured the driver to use polling, to avoid ex-
traneous scheduling issues during our experiments [21]. Our source code and raw data will be made publicly available.

Because the txsync and rxsync functions execute within our trusted fastio driver while the PPT is operational, they can access the rings of all guests/host, as well as the hardware ring using the PPT addresses. We implemented our zero-copy logic within the txsync and rxsync functions. The same logic (for txsync and rxsync) would execute both within the host and within all guests, as also discussed in Section 4.2.

Table 1a presents our results for the network throughput for a single guest on a multi-core host. The rows labeled netmap−use the netmap API for user/kernel communication within the guest, while the rows labeled socket−use the socket API. We show results using the virtio interface without/with vhost support (labeled −virtio− and −virtio-host− respectively). Further, we show results for two different types of host-side switches, namely tap, and netmap. For the netmap “switch”, the netmap ethernet interface was directly exposed to the Qemu process (without using the VALE switch that ships with netmap). The performance with the VALE switch is inferior to the performance without it, as it adds extra computation on the switching path.

Without the netmap API within the guest, the throughput is heavily CPU-bottlenecked at the guest’s user/kernel interface. The netmap API on baremetal host, is able to saturate the 10Gbps NIC even with 60B packets (14.8 Mpps). However using netmap with virtio incurs a large performance penalty (2.3 Kpps for 60B packets). virtio-vhost-tap improves the throughput marginally, while virtio-netmap does not perform any better. We were unable to setup virtio-netmap to receive packets; the transmit side throughput in this configuration is only 154Kpps, and we do not expect the receive throughput to be significantly higher.

These CPU bottlenecks have also been previously reported by ClickOS [20]. The ClickOS project addresses this problem by overhauling Xen hypervisor’s I/O virtualization subsystem. Just like netmap, ClickOS optimizations involve memory-preallocation, batching, and fast switching, albeit at the VM/hypervisor interface. Even with all these optimizations, ClickOS peaks at around 11 Mpps while transmitting 60B packets using a 512-slot device ring. On the receive side, ClickOS peaks at around 6.2 Mpps for 60B packets using a 512-slot device ring. (These figures have been taken from [20]).

The row labeled −fastio shows the throughputs achieved by our solution. Expectedly, our achieved throughputs are very close to the throughputs achieved on bare-metal. Compared to ClickOS, our transmit throughput is around 33% better, and our receive throughput is around 115% better, for the same hardware configuration. The row labeled −fastio-no-rzc shows throughput without our zero-copy optimization on the receive side; because the cost of packet-copies is not significant on the I/O path, the throughputs are largely similar to −fastio.

Table 1b presents the throughput results for a uniprocssor host and guest. Our solution remains unaffected by the scarcity of CPUs, while virtio and virtio-vhost observe (sometimes significant) performance penalties.

Table 2 shows the total throughput with multiple transmitters and receivers for 60B/1500B packets. For multiple tx/rx agents (> 1), one of the agents is the host and the rest are VMs. We show results for up to four tx/rx agents, as our test machine had four CPU cores. The transmit-side throughput remains largely unaffected with increasing number of transmitters; moreover, the bandwidth is largely fairly allocated among the transmitters. On the receive-side, we notice throughput degradation for small packets with increasing number of agents; this degradation is largely due to packets dropped while trying to enqueue them to the receive-side rings of other agents. For a single receiver, packet drops cannot not happen, as the receiving agent simply returns to its userspace (which consumes the packet) on observing a full ring. However, if one agent tries to enqueue a packet to a full ring of another receiver, the packet gets dropped (wasted work). The probability of packet drops increases with increasing number of receivers. The probability is smaller for larger packets, as the CPU has to do less work — thus we do not see the effect of dropped packets for 1500B-sized transfers.

Next, we discuss the maximum achievable throughput of our fastio packet switch, assuming both the transmitter and receiver are running as VMs (without NIC involvement). For this experiment, we implemented a shared software ring which replaced our hardware ring. The transmitters enqueued to this software ring, while the receivers dequeued from it. For this experiment, the transmit-side operation involved copying packets (always) from netmap rings to the shared software ring; on the receive-side, we show results with and without the zero-copy optimization. Table 3 presents throughput results for one-transmitter/one-receiver (tx1-rx1), one-transmitter/three-receivers (tx1-rx3), three-transmitters/one-receiver (tx3-rx1), and two-transmitters/one-receiver (tx2-rx2). The maximum achievable throughput with receive-side zero-copy enabled, is around 30 Mpps for small packets, and 10.9 Mpps for large packets; with-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of tx/rx</th>
<th>tx-60B</th>
<th>tx-1500B</th>
<th>rx-60B</th>
<th>rx-1500B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>14704</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>13292</td>
<td>816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>14753</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>11712</td>
<td>820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>14776</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>9800</td>
<td>820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>14860</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>8311</td>
<td>820</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Transmit and receive performance for multiple VMs on a 10Gbps NIC (Kpps).
Table 3: Total throughput for software-only switching without NIC involvement (Mpps).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Config</th>
<th>fastio</th>
<th>fastio-no-rzc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pktsize</td>
<td>60B</td>
<td>1500B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tx1-rx1</td>
<td>30.43</td>
<td>10.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tx1-rx3</td>
<td>12.08</td>
<td>6.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tx3-rx1</td>
<td>27.19</td>
<td>8.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tx2-rx2</td>
<td>15.61</td>
<td>7.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: DBOS overheads

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>program</th>
<th>original time (s)</th>
<th>DBOS slowdown</th>
<th>ptable-exits</th>
<th>cr3-exits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gcc</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>910509</td>
<td>2436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>perlmbk</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>54515</td>
<td>619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gap</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>113588</td>
<td>1593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bzip2</td>
<td>103.8</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>420005</td>
<td>3783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>twolf</td>
<td>119.1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>22330</td>
<td>3906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gzip</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>560305</td>
<td>3820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vpr</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>120257</td>
<td>2659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mcf</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>56913</td>
<td>1372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crafty</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>14418</td>
<td>1545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forkwai</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>18.74</td>
<td>10924572</td>
<td>166187</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

out zero-copy, the throughputs decreases to 22.6 and 7.8 Mpps respectively. In all these cases, the achieved throughputs are well above the line-rates supported by current NICs. With increasing number of receivers, packets start getting dropped resulting in lower overall throughput. With an increasing number of transmitters (tx3-rx1), the throughput drops marginally, presumably due to lock contention. VALE, another netmap-based software switch, reports throughputs of around 3.4 Mpps (tx) and 2.5 Mpps (rx) while running KVM-based virtual machines [26]. While exact/fair comparisons with VALE are not possible (as our switch is perhaps lacking in many features provided by VALE), the performance improvements provided by our switch due to in-guest switching are clearly visible. In contrast, VALE requires host-side involvement.

Finally, we discuss the runtime overheads of DBOS. DBOS overheads are related to VM exits caused due to guest’s execution of the mov-to-cr3 opcode (which is patched by us to the int3 opcode), and VM exits due to write-accesses to the page-table pages (which are write-protected by us using the EPT). For all our experiments involving pkt-gen and the Linux kernel, we encountered zero overhead due to DBOS. This is expected because only a few page-table switches occur during pkt-gen execution, and almost no writes happen to the page-table pages. However, it is possible for a VM to be running other programs simultaneously with pkt-gen; to characterize these overheads, we run some CPU-intensive programs (taken from SPEC CPUInt2000 [13]) and present runtime overheads, alongside the statistics collected for VM exits. The overheads range between -2% and 34%; the majority of this overhead is due to writes to page-table pages by the guest kernel, presumably to implement LRU page-replacement algorithm. We also show results for the forkwait microbenchmark [1] which forks 40,000 processes and waits for each of them to exit in turn. Given that the forkwait benchmark creates and destroys a large number of page tables, the resulting DBOS overhead is significant (18.74x). After running all these benchmarks, 12 kernel pages contained at least one int3 patch. All these patches were in the guest’s kernel, and were due to mov-to-cr3 instructions. We also found 193 instances where the suffix of the 2.5-byte sequence occurred at the top of an executable page in the guest. Of these, 39 occurred in kernel’s executable pages and 154 occurred in user’s executable pages. Similarly, we found 69 instances where the prefix of the 2.5-byte sequence occurred at the bottom of an executable page in the guest (60 user, 9 kernel).

6. Discussion

Our performance experiments and our discussion on security demonstrates the utility of DBOS as a security technique. We use several x86 mechanisms to achieve a practical implementation of DBOS, namely, EPT-based read/write/execute page-protection, the length of the mov-to-cr3 instruction opcode, support for CR3 target controls, ability to configure the virtualization hardware to cause VM exits on certain instructions, to name a few. For example, if the length of the mov-to-cr3 opcode was smaller (e.g., one byte instead of the 2.5 bytes), the number of patch-sites and resulting exits would have been greater. Similarly, security would not have been possible without support for CR3 target controls.

As we discuss in Section 3.1, we configure the virtualization hardware to cause a VM-exit on every interrupt, and we discussed why we need this capability to ensure security (Section 3.1). For interrupt-intensive workloads, this may be a severe performance penalty [10]. Recent support for x86 VT-d posted interrupts allow the guest to directly receive interrupts without requiring VM exits. Even if the guest wants to use VT-d posted interrupts, we could still ensure that any interrupt received within the TCB causes a VM exit by ensuring that the virtual addresses containing the interrupt-descriptor-table (IDT) are unmapped in our PPT. Typically, the kernel initializes the IDT in the beginning and stores its virtual address in the IDTR using the lidt instruction. The hypervisor can interpose on the execution of the lidt instruction (by requiring a VM exit), and record the address of the IDT. Thereafter, it can ensure that the virtual addresses corresponding to the IDT addresses in the PPT are mapped to a shadow IDT [10]. All entries in our shadow IDT would have their present-bit set to 0, causing a not-present excep-
tion an an interrupt. Additionally, the host is configured to force a VM exit whenever a not-present exception occurs. Through this, the hypervisor would get to interpose on any interrupt received during TCB execution.

Because we ensure that all `mov-to-cr3` instruction executions within the guest cause VM exits, this can cause overhead for applications that involve significant context-switching, as also seen in our experiments. One could potentially optimize this further by using in-place binary patching to replace all `mov-to-cr3` instructions with a call to a special trusted function (another TCB), that allows loading the cr3 without requiring a VM exit. This would involve caching the most-frequently-used cr3 values in the CR3 target controls. We leave this optimization for future work.

The choice of the opcode to subtract is also interesting; on the x86 architecture, we identified three different possible ways of accomplishing security through opcode subtraction. We have discussed the first one involving subtraction of the `mov-to-cr3` opcode in this paper. The other two involve subtracting either the `lgdt` opcode, or the `mov-to-cr4` opcode. In both these cases, the subtracted opcode is used at entry and exit of the fastio driver. Of all the three choices, the `mov-to-cr3` opcode incurs the least overhead on the I/O path.

Finally, we discuss guest fidelity. In general, DBOS does not change guest behaviour in any way (apart from potentially slowing it down). The only exception is that we rely on the identification of instruction boundaries; if instruction boundaries are incorrectly determined, or they can change dynamically, DBOS can cause the guest’s logical behaviour to change. As we show in our experiments for Linux, this is usually not an issue. While this work is about implementing DBOS on existing OS/programs, it should be possible to make the compiler DBOS-aware, so that it prevents emission of certain byte sequences.

7. Related Work

There are two categories of related work for this paper: one involving network I/O optimization and virtualization, and the other involving software-based security techniques such as dynamic binary translation, proof-carrying code, and typed-assembly language.

Network I/O Optimization and Virtualization

Routebricks [6] worked on implementing fast software routers by scaling them on a number of servers. PFQ [3], PF_RING [5], Intel DPDK [7], and netmap [25] are all approaches involving mapping NIC buffers into user address space.

As already discussed, ClickOS [20] suggests a complete overhaul of the Xen hypervisor’s network interface, which increases the effective bandwidth between the guest OS and the hardware NIC. Other work on improving hypervisor networking performance [4, 24, 27, 28] suggest similar optimizations; [12, 30] discuss scheduling optimizations for good networking throughput. Efforts involving vhost-like optimizations for Open vswitch [23, 24] are also interesting. All these efforts involve optimizing either the guest-side stack, or the host-side stack, or the guest/host virtualization interface. In comparison, our approach completely obviates the host-side stack, and provides direct NIC access to the guest, resulting in significantly higher throughputs and lower latencies.

Software Techniques for Security

The closest competing technique to DBOS, is perhaps dynamic binary translation (DBT). Unlike DBOS, DBT incurs large overheads for indirect jumps and interrupts/exceptions [1, 8]. BTKernel [18] optimizes DBT for interrupts/exceptions and indirect branches; however, BTKernel cannot provide the security guarantees required for our application. For example, BTKernel’s approach of leaving code-cache address on return stacks, and jumping directly to them can be used to launch a security attack in our case. DBOS is a low-overhead mechanism for ensuring security, and usually results in much lower overheads than DBT for similar security guarantees. Conversely, DBOS is not as powerful as DBT, and cannot be used for several other DBT applications.

DBOS is similar to verification techniques such as proof-carrying code (PCC) [22] and typed-assembly language (TAL) [11], in that, both techniques involve analyzing the code at load time to ascertain safety. However, unlike PCC and TAL, our analysis is much simpler — we only check for the occurrence of a certain pattern (grep) in the executable address space. In contrast, PCC and TAL require detailed reasoning about semantics of individual instructions, and control flow. While PCC and TAL have been successfully used to ascertain safety for relatively small programs, ascertaining safety against a full guest operating system still remains an open problem. Also, verification techniques seldom worry about instruction boundaries, and the potential of being able to jump in the middle of an instruction. Our current method for driver certification involves digital signatures; it remains to be seen if methods like proof-carrying code may be used instead. A PCC-based certifier would need to certify that the fastio driver behaves as expected, and does not allow PPT access to be leaked to the untrusted guest.

8. Conclusions

We present a novel security mechanism, DBOS, and show its successful application for I/O virtualization. Using DBOS, we are able to expose the privileged hypervisor/device state to the guest without security risks. Our trusted guest-side driver can access this privileged state to perform fast I/O and switching. We show significant improvements over the state-of-the-art device virtualization solutions, and software switches.
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