

This is an elaboration of a proof from Graph Theory by Reinhard Diestel.

Diestel Lemma 1.5.5(ii): Let  $T$  be a normal tree in  $G$ . If  $S \subseteq V(T) = V(G)$  and  $S$  is down-closed, then the components of  $G-S$  are spanned by the sets  $[x]$  with  $x$  minimal in  $T-S$ .

Proof: We begin by taking any component  $C$  of  $G-S$ , and a vertex  $x$  that is a minimal element of  $V(C)$ . We first show that  $x$  is the only minimal element of  $V(C)$ . Suppose, there is another minimal element  $x'$  of  $V(C)$ , then any  $x-x'$  path in  $C$  would contain a vertex below both (from Lemma 1.5.5(i)), contradicting the assumption of their minimality.

Now, we shall show that the up closure of  $x$  spans the component  $C$ . Diestel says "As every vertex of  $C$  lies above some minimal element of  $V(C)$ , it lies above  $x$ ." We shall also show its converse by stating that every vertex  $y \in [x]$  lies in the component  $C$ , because if  $y \in S$ , then since  $S$  is down closed,  $x \in S$  will also hold, which is a contradiction. From the above arguments, we have that  $V(C) = [x]$ .

Now, we have established that the components of  $G-S$  are spanned by  $\underset{\text{the up-closures of their}}{n}$  minimal elements. To prove the lemma, we must show that the set of minimal elements of components of  $G-S$  is the same as the set of minimal elements of  $T-S$ .

We begin by showing that  $x$  is a minimal element of  $T-S$ . The set of all vertices lying below  $x$  in  $T$  form a chain which can be represented as  $\Gamma t\Gamma$ , for a vertex  $t$  which is a neighbour of  $x$ . Since  $x$  is minimal in  $V(C)$  and  $t$  is a neighbour of  $x$  in  $T$ ,  $t$  must not belong to  $V(C)$ . Hence  $t \in S$ , and consequently, all vertices in  $\Gamma t\Gamma$  belong to  $S$ , since  $S$  is down closed.

The converse is straightforward, as if  $x$  is a minimal element of  $T-S$ , it is also a minimal element of every subset of  $T-S$  that it belongs to, and hence is a minimal element of the component of  $G-S$  that it belongs to.

From the above two arguments, we shown that the set of minimal vertices of  $T-S$  is the same as the set of minimal vertices of components of  $G-S$ .

Combining this with the result that the components of  $G-S$  are spanned by  $L_n$ , where  $n$  is the minimal element of the component, we have shown that "the components of  $G-S$  are spanned by the sets  $L_n$  with  $n$  minimal in  $T-S$ ", since the set of minimal elements of the components of  $G-S$  is the same as the set of minimal elements of  $T-S$ .